STEPPING UP FOR KIDS
what government and communities
should do to support kinship families
Across every generation and culture, grandparents, other relatives, and close family friends have stepped forward to raise children whose parents can no longer care for them. This time-honored tradition, known as kinship care, helps protect children and maintains strong family, community, and cultural connections. When children cannot remain safely with their parents, other family and friends can provide a sense of security, positive identity, and belonging.

Extended family members and close family friends care for more than 2.7 million children in this country, an increase of almost 18 percent over the past decade. The vast majority of these living arrangements are established informally within families.

Nevertheless, about 104,000 of these children have been placed with kin formally, as part of the state-supervised foster care system. In fact, children placed with kin by the formal foster care system represent one-fourth of all children who have been removed from their homes by the public child welfare system and placed in state custody.

Whether they took in children through informal arrangements or through the state-supervised foster care system, all kinship caregivers face the emotional, physical, and financial strain of raising children who have experienced the trauma of parental separation. Many kinship caregivers take on this responsibility without government assistance, often because they do not realize they could get help. And even those who are able to get help find themselves navigating through thickets of bureaucratic rules and procedures that evolved without kinship families in mind.

With help, kinship caregivers have proven they can ensure that children are kept safe and healthy and are able to achieve their full potential. Smart investments in these caring families also save money. Their loving support enhances children’s development, preventing the need for more intrusive and expensive government interventions down the line.

This policy report summarizes what we know about kinship care, identifies what government and communities should do to support kinship families.
Overall, 1 in 11 children live in kinship care at some point before the age of 18. One in 5 black children spends time in kinship care at some point in their childhood.

the problems and issues these families face, and recommends how we can best support caregivers as they step up to take responsibility for children in their extended families and communities.

KINSHIP CARE: A COMMON SOLUTION THAT WORKS FOR KIDS

Nationally, relatives or family friends are raising approximately 2.7 million children because their parents can no longer care for them. Kin and close friends step up to care for children for many reasons: parental substance abuse and mental illness; child abuse, neglect, or abandonment; illness or death; incarceration; and domestic violence.

Children may also go to live with relatives because of military deployment, employment opportunities in other states, divorce, and deportation. In all of these very different circumstances, kinship care arrangements vary in length from several weeks or months to lifelong caregiving relationships.

Although the vast majority of children live in kinship families without any child protective services involvement, state agencies also depend on kin to care for abused and neglected children under state supervision. The most recent data available show that more than 1 in 4 children in foster care—approximately 104,000 children—are in foster care with relatives.

In addition, approximately 400,000 children who came to the attention of the child welfare system, but were diverted from state custody, live with kin as an alternative to foster care. In other words, after a referral has been made to the child welfare system, a worker helps the family find an alternative living arrangement for the child with a family member, at least temporarily, without that system securing legal custody and accepting oversight responsibility.

Data show that families are relying on kinship care at a much higher rate than in years past. In fact, over the past decade the number of children in kinship care grew six times faster than the number of children in the general population (18 percent versus 3 percent). Newly available data suggest that a large number of children spend time in kinship care at some point during their childhoods, with 1 in 11 children living in kinship care for at least three consecutive months at some point before the age of 18. The likelihood that African-American children will experience kinship care is more than double that of the overall population, with 1 in 5 black children spending time in kinship care at some point during their childhood.

Kinship Care Increases Child Safety, Stability, Permanence, and Well-Being

The notion that children do better in families is a fundamental value that cuts across all racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic boundaries. Kinship care helps children maintain familial and community bonds and provides them with a sense of stability, identity, and belonging, especially during times of crisis. Kinship care also helps to minimize the trauma and loss that accompany parental separation. For children
### How Many Children Are in Kinship Care?

About 4 percent of all children are in kinship care. While only around 104,000 of them are in state-supervised foster care, they represent nearly 26 percent of the foster care population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>% of all children</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>% of all children in foster care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2,712,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>103,943</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3,605</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>333,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>16,338</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>164,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8,071</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>103,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>105,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6,208</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>59,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3,814</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1,478</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1,538</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>63,000</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2,037</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>31,000</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1,616</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>59,000</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>5,690</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>53,000</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>56,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2,087</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1,619</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>58,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2,518</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>153,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5,433</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>101,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2,076</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1,631</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>56,000</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>2,271</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2,254</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>101,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3,456</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>67,000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>276,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8,506</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>69,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>53,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3,404</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1,944</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Population Reference Bureau’s analysis of 2009, 2010, and 2011 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Surveys. Estimates represent 3-year averages. Children in Public and Private Kinship Care are those children under age 18 who were living in households with no parents present and includes those who are related to the householder by blood or marriage, as well as unrelated children who are not classified as roomers, boarders, or foster children.


**Note**: U.S. total includes 1,296 children in state-supervised kinship foster care in Puerto Rico.
in the custody of the state child welfare system, placement with caring relatives helps prevent the unnecessary stress of adjusting to foster care with adults they do not know. Kin can provide safe, stable, and nurturing care temporarily when children are removed from their homes, and they can provide care permanently when parents are unable to resume full-time care of their children.

A growing body of research confirms that, in most circumstances, kinship care is the best option when children cannot live with their own parents. Particularly for foster children placed with kin, several studies have found that children in kinship foster care are better able to adjust to their new environment and are less likely to experience behavioral problems and psychiatric disorders than those in the general foster care population. Finally, children in kinship foster care experience fewer school disruptions than children in non-kin foster care.

### TABLE 2

#### Who Are Kinship Families?

According to U.S. Census Bureau data, kinship caregivers are more likely to be poor, single, older, less educated, and unemployed than families in which at least one parent is present.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Children Living With at Least One Parent</th>
<th>Children in Public and Private Kinship Care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOUSEHOLD POVERTY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below the poverty line</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 200% of the poverty line</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMPLOYMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver employed</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed full time</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver retired</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver disabled</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RACE/ETHNICITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (non-Hispanic)</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER CHARACTERISTICS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single parent</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver age 50+</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No high school diploma</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMMON CHALLENGES FOR KINSHIP FAMILIES

While many kinship families value the emotional rewards of caregiving, they also experience serious hardship in taking on the full-time care of additional children. Raising children costs money and requires serious commitments of time, energy, and attention. Kin who are given the unanticipated responsibility of caring for additional children quickly confront financial, health, and social challenges. Many grandparents and other relatives raising children also struggle with feelings of guilt and shame about the family circumstances that led to the caregiving arrangement.

These challenges are all the more daunting when caring for children who have experienced trauma, and they are further exacerbated by the difficulties of navigating government and community support systems in an effort to meet children’s needs. In some cases, kinship care families lack the requisite legal authority to make decisions on behalf of the children in their care. While these challenges do not diminish the positive impact that kin can have on children, they do call attention to the need for comprehensive supports to address the common barriers facing these families.

Financial, Health, and Social Stresses of Caregiving

According to U.S. Census Bureau data, kinship caregivers are more likely to be poor, single, older, less educated, and

How Does the Cost of Raising Children Compare to Available Government Support?

Overall, foster care and TANF benefits fall far short of covering the cost of what is needed each month to raise a child.

MONTHLY COST OF RAISING TWO CHILDREN

$1,980

MONTHLY COST OF RAISING ONE CHILD

$990

FOSTER CARE BENEFITS

$1,022

TANF BENEFITS

$344

$249

52% of the estimated cost to raise one child of the estimated cost to raise two children

25% of the estimated cost to raise one child of the estimated cost to raise two children

52% of the estimated cost to raise two children

A growing body of research confirms that, in most circumstances, kinship care is the best choice when children cannot live with their own parents.

unemployed than families in which at least one parent is present. The financial burdens kin face can be even more severe when kin are already caring for other children, take in large sibling groups, are retired, or are living on a fixed income.

Whether children living with kinship families were placed through the formal child welfare system or informal agreements within a family, they often face similar challenges, such as a history of parental abuse and neglect, substance abuse, and/or domestic violence. Compared to the general population of children, those in private, informal kinship care tend to have higher poverty rates, are less likely to be covered by health insurance, and are more likely to have physical and mental disabilities.

Children in public, formal kinship care are also more likely to face behavioral and emotional issues associated with the abuse or neglect that initially brought their families to the attention of the child welfare system.

In addition to its impact on work, finances, and retirement plans, the sudden decision to take in a child may also disrupt important family relationships. Caregivers often experience a complex set of emotions, including shame, guilt, or anger over the behavior of the children’s parents. The emotional impact is especially difficult on grandparent caregivers who must also manage relationships with, and sometimes provide care for, their adult children at the same time they are raising their grandchildren. Focusing on the needs of the children in their care while ignoring their own needs can lead to chronic stress, depression, or physical illness such as hypertension.

It Can Be Hard for Kinship Families to Get Help
Kin caregivers often find it difficult to get the benefits and services they need to take care of the children they’ve taken in. In fact, many do not even realize that certain government supports exist to help them, or they receive inaccurate information about their eligibility for help.

Financial Help: Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
For many families, their most immediate need is for additional money to pay for the added costs of caring for a child. As mentioned above, kin families are more likely to be poor or low income, and many older kin caregivers are living on fixed retirement incomes. Adding the expense of caring for a child, or several children, is a significant challenge.

Many kin caregivers do not realize that they may be eligible for financial help to pay these extra expenses. In most states, almost all children living apart from their parents—including those living with other family members—are eligible for cash assistance through Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), even if the family member they are now living with is not eligible. Full-time relative caregivers do not need legal custody or guardianship to apply for assistance on a child’s behalf. Additionally, if they meet certain eligibility requirements,
low-income caregivers themselves may also receive cash grants.

Although states can use TANF funds to provide cash assistance and services to kinship families, these programs do not always respond to the unique needs of kinship families. The program has evolved with a focus on nuclear families, including restrictions and time limits that can be inappropriate and unworkable when applied to kinship families.

Less than 12 percent of kinship families receive any assistance from TANF, although nearly 100 percent of the children in such families are eligible, as well as many of the caregivers themselves. Kin are often reluctant to apply for TANF assistance because of a perceived stigma associated with the program, or because they do not know that TANF is available or how to apply for it. They also may not have appropriate documentation verifying the caregiver’s relationship to the child.

**Other Financial Assistance**

Because most kinship caregivers fail to receive TANF, they miss opportunities to receive other public benefits, as well. For example, less than half of low-income kinship care households receive assistance from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP—formerly Food Stamps), despite the fact that most report food insecurity. Less than half of eligible children in kinship care receive Medicaid coverage. Only 17 percent of low-income working kinship caregivers receive child care assistance. Similarly,
only 15 percent of low-income kinship caregivers receive any housing assistance, despite most having reported difficulty paying housing costs.20

Lack of Affordable Legal Representation
Kinship families are called upon to take the place of parents, yet they often need basic legal authority to make daily caregiving decisions for children, such as obtaining medical care or enrolling children in school. Private health insurance usually covers only biological and adoptive children, not children in kinship care, and caregivers are often unaware of children’s eligibility for Medicaid and the Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP). Because of their unclear legal status, some kinship families struggle to access other critical benefits, including Supplemental Security Income (SSI), SNAP, available child care subsidies, and other programs.

Many caregivers find it difficult and intimidating to interact with adversarial court systems, especially when they have to bring cases against their own family members.21 Cuts in funding for legal services and growing caseloads make it difficult for low-income families to find qualified and affordable lawyers. Many caregivers earn too much to qualify for free or low-cost legal services, but too little to afford the high cost of a private attorney. Although some courts have committed to making their proceedings more open and supportive for kinship families, the majority still fail to consider the complex dynamics of these families.

Barriers to Effective Use of Kinship Families in the Child Welfare System
Federal and state child welfare policies express a strong preference for relatives to care for those children who cannot safely live with their parents. In fact, federal and state laws require that child welfare agencies notify and consider placement with relatives from the time a child first enters state custody. In 2010, more than one-fourth of children in foster care—approximately 26 percent—were placed with kinship families.22

Yet, just as the nation’s financial support system has evolved with a primary focus on nuclear families, the foster care system itself was not originally designed to assist family members with playing such a direct, parent-like role in meeting the needs of children.

Uneven State Progress in Placing Children With Kin
Despite the fact that policies and laws prefer placement with kin over placement with families unknown to the child, state reliance on kinship families for children in foster care varies widely, ranging from 6 percent to 46 percent. The failure to identify and engage family resources for children in foster care too often results in losing the family connections that are vital to their long-term well-being. The lack of family connections is particularly difficult for those children who leave foster care at age 18 (or, in some cases, up to age 21) with no permanent relationships.
Despite making resources available to children in kinship care, public benefits programs have evolved with nuclear families in mind. As a result, kinship care families are often the “square pegs” in the round holes of the TANF program and other existing government systems.

**Barriers to Licensing Kin as Foster Parents**

To care for a child in foster care and receive the same supports as other foster parents, relatives typically must be fully licensed as kinship foster parents. However, current state licensing requirements and agency practices for licensing kin families often prevent caregivers from being approved for licensed placements. In fact, more than half of children placed with relatives under state supervision are in unlicensed homes.

In some cases, foster care regulations such as physical space requirements (e.g., square footage of bedrooms, size of windows) were not designed with relatives in mind. Foster parent training, a licensure requirement in almost all states, typically focuses on the wide range of issues facing traditional foster families and may not be relevant to kinship families. While many states allow waivers — exceptions to licensing requirements that do not directly impact child safety — the waiver process is often ignored or inconsistently applied.

The failure of some state child welfare agencies to inform caregivers that licensing is an option may also cause them to miss out on the financial support and other benefits that are typically offered to non-kin foster parents.

**Inconsistent Kinship Diversion Policies**

When a child first comes to the attention of the child welfare system, many agencies divert children to live with kin as an alternative to bringing the child into state custody, a practice that is commonly referred to as kinship diversion. This means placements are made without the system securing legal custody and accepting oversight responsibility. It is estimated that kin are caring for more than 400,000 children who have been diverted from foster care.

Despite their prevalence, diversion practices vary significantly both across and within states, and few jurisdictions have developed clear policies to guide them. While some agencies offer ongoing services and supervision, others provide few if any follow-up services to the birth parent, the caregiver, or the child. Many families also agree to diversion without a full understanding of their other options (including the choice to become a licensed foster parent), or without the appropriate legal authority to make decisions on behalf of the child. Because most states do not track outcomes for children who are diverted, little is known about the experience of children living with kin outside of foster care.

**Expanding Permanency Options for Children in Kinship Foster Care**

When child welfare agencies determine that it is not possible for a child to return home to their parents, many relatives choose adoption to ensure a permanent home for the child. Recognizing that adoption may not be the most appropriate choice for every kinship family, federal law also allows states to use federal funds to provide an ongoing payment so that eligible children can live permanently with relatives who obtain legal guardianship through the courts. Although 30 states have taken advantage of this option, 21 states have yet to apply for the program.
POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS
how to improve government and community support for kinship families

Kinship families step forward to nurture and protect some of our nation’s most vulnerable children. Government agencies and community stakeholders also have an important responsibility to help struggling kinship families provide the best possible care and opportunities for the children they are raising. In many states, innovative models and best practices are emerging that help increase the financial stability of kinship families, meet the unique needs of families who have come to the attention of the child welfare system, and improve and expand community-based responses to help kinship families thrive. The following recommendations are based on the best of these ideas and should be expanded across states to strengthen the support system for kinship families.

1. Increase Financial Stability of Kinship Families
To increase their financial stability and prevent unnecessary and more costly involvement in the child welfare and other systems, states should use the flexibility under current federal statute to increase basic income supports for low-income kinship families. Here are some examples of how states can help kinship families secure the resources they need to meet the basic needs of the children they are caring for:

**Ensure kinship families have access to benefits to which they are eligible.** States should ensure that kinship families are aware of and receive available assistance to meet the basic needs of the children in their care. This includes access to TANF, SNAP, the National School Lunch Program, Social Security, Medicaid, CHIP, child care, housing assistance, foster care subsidies, and other programs as appropriate. For working kinship caregivers, receipt of the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) can also help to ensure family financial stability.

Kinship navigator programs are proving to be excellent vehicles to link kinship families with resources to meet their needs. These programs coordinate efforts among public agencies and educate workers and families about eligibility requirements. United Way-sponsored 211 call-in centers, as well as state resource and referral programs, which provide information on local government and community-based services through a single point of contact by telephone, can also be a first point of contact for access to benefits.

**Design TANF-funded programs that meet the unique needs of kinship care families.** TANF block grants provide states with opportunities to better meet the needs of low-income kinship families. Some states have increased TANF grant levels to better reflect the actual cost of raising children who have been separated from their parents, and they have extended child care benefits to working caregivers. States have also increased asset limits, removed work requirements, and ignored time limits on cash assistance for older caregivers. States implementing these reforms recognized that such eligibility requirements and restrictions were designed primarily with young, single mothers in mind and are not as relevant for older kin. States can also use flexible TANF funds or authorize state funding for emergency assistance to help
kinship families through the transition of assuming responsibility for their children.31

States can do more to coordinate TANF programs with child welfare agencies, especially for kinship caregivers involved in both systems, such as unlicensed foster parents or those who became caregivers as a result of diversion. For these families, states can ensure that kinship caregivers have the financial capacity to meet the needs of children without foster care payments. And certainly states need to ensure that the needs of biological parents are being met so that reunification can occur.

2. Strengthen Kinship Families Involved in the Child Welfare System

As reliance on kinship care continues to grow, states are recognizing the need to ensure that abused and neglected children living in kinship families achieve safety, permanence, and well-being, as required by federal law. Best practices from around the country include the following:

- **Aligning public agency and court practices with the philosophy of placing children with kin.** Leading state and local child welfare systems are now working to identify and engage kin as early as possible when a child becomes involved in the child welfare system; to assess kin for their capacity to serve as appropriate placement resources; and to support kin when they step up to care for children. Courts are also playing an increasingly role by requiring agencies to identify and engage kin whenever possible. Family decision making
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**CASE STUDY**

**Allegheny Department of Human Services: A Second Chance for Kinship Families**

In 1994, Allegheny County in Pennsylvania responded to a judicial consent decree requiring that resources be provided to kinship foster parents. Recognizing that kinship families needed an approach that was different from the way traditional foster care is provided, the county partnered with A Second Chance, Inc. (ASCI), a licensed foster care agency designed to meet the unique needs of kinship care families. As the only agency in the country that specializes in child welfare-involved kinship families, ASCI is able to license 93 percent of its families so that they have access to needed financial support, while providing parents with services to help them regain custody of their children. As part of its comprehensive approach, ASCI provides kinship care training specially designed to address the dynamics of kinship families; intensive in-home services; emergency assistance, including a clothing bank and flexible funding for other necessary expenses; respite services; and transportation. ASCI also assigns different social workers to work with the caregiver and the parent to ensure that immediate service needs, as well as longer-term reunification and permanency goals, are being met. The Department of Human Services now places more than 60 percent of the children in foster care with kin and achieves permanence in 89 percent of its cases.
and front-end family finding are just two examples of promising practices that help agencies work with kin families.\textsuperscript{32, 33} 

**Assuring that any decision to divert children to live with kin as an alternative to state custody is guided by sound policy and practice.**

Clear policies help workers determine whether diversion away from state custody is appropriate for children who come to the attention of the child welfare system. Clear program guidance defines how the agency supports these families outside of the traditional foster care structure. These policies include provisions for an independently facilitated team decision-making meeting\textsuperscript{34} to explore the best options for care and protection of the child with the family.

Kinship families need to understand all of their options, including the option to become licensed kinship foster parents, and they need to understand what supports will be available to them, the children, and the birth parents once the diversion occurs. Child welfare agencies should also track the experiences of children who are diverted from foster care to live with kin to ensure that they are in safe and stable living arrangements. Finally, caregivers who may be struggling should feel safe in seeking support from the child welfare agency without worrying that doing so might lead to having the child removed unnecessarily from their home.

**Reforming foster home licensing requirements.**

Some states and counties have carefully reviewed their existing licensing standards to eliminate overly burdensome or prescriptive requirements. States have developed clear and timely processes to grant waivers for those standards that might be appropriate for traditional foster care but that are not relevant for kinship families. States should ensure that training for kinship foster parents is relevant to their needs and does not create a barrier to licensing kinship families.

**Adding subsidized guardianship to the permanency options for foster children.**

All states should opt into the federal government’s Guardianship Assistance Program (GAP). GAP provides federal subsidies for kinship families who agree to permanently care for foster children when they cannot return home or be adopted. GAP can help children leave foster care to find permanent homes with kin and can help states save the administrative costs of continuing to visit with and provide court hearings for the child.

**3. Enhance Other Community-Based and Government Responses for Kinship Families**

Community and government systems can come together to develop a comprehensive and coordinated network of services and supports for kinship families. This network harnesses the collective action of government agencies, state legislatures, businesses, the legal community, faith-based organizations, and others. An effective network would ensure that kinship care families have the following:
In many states, innovative models and best practices are emerging that help increase the financial stability of kinship families, meet the unique needs of these families, and improve and expand community-based responses to help them thrive.

**CONCLUSION**

Millions of American families have stepped up to care for the children in their extended families. To help them care for these children, public systems, private agencies, faith-based organizations, and the entire community must also step up. The Casey Foundation encourages states and communities to continue to strengthen existing policies and programs for kinship care families.

Kinship care enjoys strong bipartisan support. In 2008, Congress unanimously passed the Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act, which provided new federal resources to support kinship care families and instructed states to ensure that relatives are identified and engaged when children must be removed from their parents’ homes.

States are also stepping up. Many states have focused on removing barriers to licensing kin to care for children placed in foster care. Several states have also taken advantage of the flexibility of the TANF block grant to help kinship care families cover the unexpected costs of taking in a child and eliminate the need for unnecessary foster care. Community-based programs have created effective one-stop service delivery models designed specifically for kinship families.

Now is the time to bring many of these innovative programs and policies to a national scale so that no matter where they live and what their needs are, kinship care families have the support they need to ensure that children thrive.
“Ours is by no means a tradition limited to respect for the bonds uniting the members of a nuclear family. The tradition of uncles, aunts, cousins, and especially grandparents sharing a household...has roots equally venerable and deserving of recognition.”

Supreme Court Justice Lewis Powell, *Moore v. City of East Cleveland*

---
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